# Turbulence generation by large-scale extreme vertical drafts and the modulation of local energy dissipation in stably stratified geophysical flows

Raffaele Marino<sup>1,1</sup> Fabio Feraco,<sup>1,2</sup> Leonardo Primavera<sup>0,2</sup> Alain Pumir,<sup>3</sup> Annick Pouquet<sup>0,4</sup> Duane Rosenberg,<sup>5</sup> and Pablo D. Mininni<sup>6</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Université de Lyon, CNRS, École Centrale de Lyon, INSA Lyon, Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1,

Laboratoire de Mécanique des Fluides et d'Acoustique, UMR5509, F-69134 Écully, France

<sup>2</sup>Dipartimento di Fisica, Università della Calabria, 87036 Rende, Calabria, Italy

<sup>3</sup>Univ Lyon, ENSL, CNRS, Laboratoire de Physique, F-69342 Lyon, France

<sup>4</sup>Laboratory for Atmospheric and Space Physics, University of Colorado, Boulder, Colorado 80309, USA <sup>5</sup>Louisville, Colorado 80027 USA

<sup>6</sup>Departamento de Física, Facultad de Ciencias Exactas y Naturales, Universidad de Buenos Aires, and IFIBA, CONICET, Buenos Aires 1428, Argentina



(Received 12 December 2020; revised 3 June 2021; accepted 16 February 2022; published 14 March 2022)

We observe the emergence of strong vertical drafts in direct numerical simulations of the Boussinesq equations in a range of parameters of geophysical interest. These structures, which appear intermittently in space and time, generate turbulence and enhance kinetic and potential energy dissipation, providing a possible explanation for the observed variability of the local energy dissipation in the bulk of oceanic flows and the modulation of its probability distribution function. We show how, due to the extreme drafts, in runs with Froude numbers observable in geophysical scenarios, roughly 10% of the domain flow can account for up to 50% of the global volume dissipation, reminiscent of estimates based on oceanic models.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevFluids.7.033801

## I. INTRODUCTION

The combination of turbulent eddies and waves, due to stratification and rotation, leads to the formation of surprising features in geophysical flows from hurricanes and tornadoes in the atmosphere [1] to oval structures and jets on Jupiter [2] or strong currents and dual constant-flux energy cascades in our ocean and in other planetary atmospheres in the presence of rotation [3–13]. The interplay between such structures is not fully understood, in particular their dependence on control parameters such as the Reynolds and Froude numbers and combinations thereof as well as, for example, the gradient Richardson number and the flux Richardson number. Many review papers can be found (see, e.g., Refs. [14–17]), and much research has been devoted to such a vast ensemble of topics (Refs. [18–29] and references therein).

It is known that extreme events associated with these structures can play an important role in the dynamics and dissipation. For example, sudden and significant enhancements of the vertical velocity (hereafter "drafts") have been observed in geophysical flows, in the planetary boundary layer [30–32], as well as far from boundaries in the mesosphere and lower thermosphere [33,34] and in the ocean at depths close to that of the mixed layer [35]. Moreover, in the ocean, vast regions of rather constant energy dissipation  $\varepsilon_0$  are observed together with local patches of turbulence dissipating  $10^2 \varepsilon_0$  to  $10^4 \varepsilon_0$ , as in the vicinity of the Hawaiian ridge [36] or of the Puerto Rico trench [37], with large values of the vertical velocity [35,38]. Similarly, in frontal structures, gradients of tracers such as pollutants are characterized by very large fluctuations, with a kurtosis (the normalized fourth-order moment of the distribution) reaching values of several hundreds [39]. In general, the presence of extreme events in turbulent flows is characterized by high-order statistical moments of the fields larger (in absolute value) than their Gaussian reference. Sub-Gaussian statistics for the velocity field have been observed as well for a long time, for example in shear flows [40] or for general homogeneous isotropic turbulence (HIT) [41]. However, these statistics are not indicative of extreme events.

Unexpectedly, early seminal studies of stratified flows [42-45] revealed that stably stratified turbulence, as found, e.g., at intermediate scales in the nocturnal atmosphere and in the oceans, is more complex than quasigeostrophic dynamics and very different from HIT flows. This is evidenced, e.g., by the formation of anisotropic horizontal structures and their effect on turbulent transport [46,47], or by the complex structure of the spectra, characterizing the kinetic and potential energy of the flow [18,48,49]. Stably stratified turbulence is also dependent on the Reynolds number [50], the possible flow regimes being controlled, in some extent at least, by the product of the Reynolds and the squared Froude number [20], the so-called buoyancy Reynolds number (see Ref. [19] for a review, and for an alternate definition to the one used in this work). These results led to the emergence of a physical picture for strongly stratified turbulence, in which an anisotropic and forward energy cascade is associated with highly anisotropic vortical structures and with the development of breakdown events on small scales where the flow becomes supercritical, feeding into local patches of more isotropic dynamics (see, e.g., Refs. [18,51–53]). Concerning extreme events, it is known that stably stratified turbulence displays intermittency [21,54–57], but only recently it was found that the amount of large-scale intermittency (to distinguish it from the classical small-scale intermittency considered in several studies [21]) depends sharply on the Froude number, with some regimes being in fact more intermittent than HIT and displaying extreme values of the large-scale vertical flow velocity [54,55,57], as also observed, for example, in reanalysis of climate data [58,59]. These latter events are of a different nature than the small-scale extreme events, as they involve directly the velocity field instead of its gradients. However, their effect on the energy dissipation, and how these extreme vertical drafts interact with and affect the turbulence, remain unclear.

Recent numerical work based on the Boussinesq equations has confirmed that the vertical component of the velocity field (w) indeed exhibits a large-scale intermittent behavior in both space and in time for values of Froude numbers of geophysical interest [55], with a connection existing between large- and small-scale intermittency in stratified turbulent flows [57]. In particular, direct numerical simulations (DNSs) of stably stratified turbulent flows were found to systematically develop powerful vertical drafts that make the statistics of w strongly non-Gaussian in the energy-containing eddies in the sense of a kurtosis higher than for a Gaussian field. This is interpreted as a result of the interplay between gravity waves and turbulent motions, and it occurs in a resonant regime of the governing parameters where vertical velocities are enhanced much faster than in the analogous HIT case [54,55,57]. The interplay between gravity waves and turbulent eddies can also affect the kinetic-potential energy distribution and their relative dissipation (see for example Refs. [60,61]). It can also be understood as a result of complex phase-space dynamics in a reduced model for the velocity and temperature gradients [62]. The present study establishes that extreme drafts emerge in a recurrent manner in stratified flows, producing localized turbulence, and ultimately bursts of dissipation at small scale, as observed, for example, in oceanic data and DNSs [23] (see also Ref. [56]).

# **II. SIMULATIONS AND PARAMETERS**

We performed a series of DNSs in a triply periodic domain of side  $2\pi L_0$  with  $512^3$  grid points for up to  $t \approx 500\tau_{\text{NL}}$ ;  $\tau_{\text{NL}} = L/U$  is the turnover time, and U and L are the flow characteristic velocity and integral scale respectively in units of a simulation unit length  $L_0$  and a unit velocity  $U_0$  with  $T_0 = L_0/U_0$ . In these units, in all the simulations considered below, the velocity u is  $U \approx 1$ 

| flow (rms) velocity, and the temporal extension of the runs in units of $\tau_{\rm NL}$ . In all runs $L \approx 2.5L_0$ and $\tau_{\rm NL} = L/U$ . |          |     |      |      |      |     |      |      |      |
|--|----------|-----|------|------|------|-----|------|------|------|
| Run  | P1       | P2  | P3   | P4   | P5   | P6  | P7   | P8   | P9   |
| $Re(\times 10^3)$  | 2.4      | 2.6 | 3.6  | 3.8  | 3.8  | 3.8 | 3.8  | 1.2  | 0.8  |
| $Fr(\times 10^{-1})$   | $\infty$ | 2.8 | 1.1  | 0.81 | 0.76 | 0.3 | 0.26 | 0.76 | 0.71 |
| $R_B$  | $\infty$ | 206 | 43.8 | 24.8 | 22.1 | 3.4 | 2.6  | 6.8  | 4.2  |
| $\nu (\times 10^{-3} L_0 U_0)$   | 1.5      | 1   | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1   | 1    | 3    | 4    |
| $N (U_0/L_0)$  | 0        | 1.5 | 5    | 7.37 | 8    | 20  | 23.5 | 7.37 | 7.37 |
| $U(U_0)$   | 1.4      | 1.0 | 1.4  | 1.5  | 1.5  | 1.5 | 1.5  | 1.4  | 1.3  |
| $t_{\rm tot}/\tau_{\rm NL}$  | 30       | 55  | 103  | 452  | 406  | 91  | 62   | 526  | 422  |

TABLE I. Parameters of the runs, with Re, Fr, and  $R_B$ , respectively, the Reynolds, Froude, and buoyancy Reynolds numbers, and  $\nu$ , N, U, and  $t_{tot}/\tau_{NL}$  the kinematic viscosity, the Brunt-Väisälä frequency, the typical flow (rms) velocity, and the temporal extension of the runs in units of  $\tau_{NL}$ . In all runs  $L \approx 2.5L_0$  and  $\tau_{NL} = L/U$ .

to 1.5, and the flow integral scale is  $L \approx 2\pi/\overline{k}_f \approx 2.5$  in all cases, with  $\overline{k}_f$  the mean forced wave number; for practical purposes the typical velocity U and length L can be considered  $\mathcal{O}(1)$ . Some of these flows were analyzed in Ref. [55] over a limited time span (up to  $\approx 25\tau_{\rm NL}$ ), while other simulations analyzed herein are new. It was shown in Refs. [55,57] that the kurtosis of w could reach high values in a narrow regime of parameters around a Froude number  $\text{Fr} = U/(LN) \approx 0.08$ , with  $N = [-(g/\rho_0)\partial_z \overline{\rho}]^{1/2}$  the Brunt-Väisälä frequency, g the gravitational acceleration,  $\rho_0$  the mean density, and  $\overline{\rho}$  the background linear density profile. Simulation parameters are listed in Table I. Runs P3 to P5, with  $5 \leq NT_0 \leq 8$ , correspond to the resonant regime mentioned above. In all the simulations with  $N \neq 0$ ,  $NT_0$  varies from 1.5 to 23.5. As a reference, a typical velocity in the ocean of  $U_0 = 0.1 \text{ ms}^{-1}$ , and a unit length of 1 km (thus for a computational domain of  $\approx 6.3 \text{ km}$ ), results in  $N \approx 2 \times 10^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1}$  (for run P7) to  $N \approx 10^{-4} \text{ s}^{-1}$  (for run P2). These values are reasonable for oceanic scales and situations in which the hydrostatic approximation breaks down [63].

The Boussinesq equations for the velocity **u** and the density fluctuation  $\rho'$  around the stable linear background are

$$\partial_t \mathbf{u} + (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u} = -\nabla (p/\rho_0) - (g/\rho_0) \rho' \hat{z} + \mathbf{F}_u + \nu \nabla^2 \mathbf{u}, \tag{1}$$

$$\partial_t \rho' + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \rho' = (\rho_0 N^2 / g) w + \kappa \nabla^2 \rho' , \qquad (2)$$

where  $\kappa$  is the thermal diffusivity and  $\nu$  the kinematic viscosity, with  $\kappa = \nu$  for all runs. Both values, in units of  $L_0U_0$  in Table I, are not realistic for geophysical flows and come as a result of computational constraints and should thus be considered as effective transport coefficients. In spite of this, in the simulations presented here, turbulence is strong enough for the typical nonlinear dynamics observed in the atmosphere and in the oceans to develop. We take the convention that the vertical coordinate, z, points upwards, and gravity downwards. The total fluid density is  $\rho = \overline{\rho}(z) + \rho'$ , with  $\langle \rho' \rangle = 0$ , and uniform  $\partial_z \overline{\rho} < 0$ , expressing that the background density decreases linearly with  $z (\langle \overline{\rho}(z) \rangle = \rho_0)$ . These equations can also be written using a scaled density fluctuation  $\zeta = g\rho'/(N\rho_0)$ , with units of velocity  $U_0$ , as

$$\partial_t \mathbf{u} + (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u} = -\nabla p' - N\zeta \hat{z} + \mathbf{F}_u + \nu \nabla^2 \mathbf{u}, \tag{3}$$

$$\partial_t \zeta + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \zeta = N w + \kappa \nabla^2 \zeta , \qquad (4)$$

where  $p' = p/\rho_0$ . This latter form is convenient as the kinetic and potential energy (per unit mean density  $\rho_0$ ) are then given respectively by  $E_V = \int u^2/2 \, dV$  and  $E_P = \int \zeta^2/2 \, dV$ .

The Reynolds and buoyancy Reynolds numbers are  $\text{Re} = UL/\nu$  and  $R_B = \text{Re Fr}^2$ .  $R_B$  is a measure of the relative strength of the buoyancy to the dissipation and allows for the identification of three regimes: one controlled by gravity waves ( $R_B \leq 10$ ), a transitional regime, and another dominated by turbulence ( $R_B \geq 10^2$ ) [20,24,55]. Fr, Re, and  $R_B$  are computed for each run close



FIG. 1. Kurtosis  $K_w$  of the vertical velocity w as a function of time, in units of the turnover time  $\tau_{NL}$ , for runs P5 (top panel), P4 (middle panel), as well as (bottom panel) P8 (solid line) and P9 (dashed line). Red and blue identify respectively the portions of the signal across relative maxima and minima used to compute the spectra in Fig. 2.  $T^* \approx 290\tau_{NL}$  is the reference time used in Fig. 3 (see above top panel). The inset in the middle panel shows the small-scale kinetic (V, dashed line) and potential (P, solid line) energies at late times for run P5, obtained through the integration of the corresponding spectra from k = 30 to  $k_{max} \simeq 512/3$ .

to the peak of dissipation. A HIT run is also performed. The flows evolve under the action of a random forcing  $\mathbf{F}_u$ , with constant amplitude, delta-correlated in time, isotropic in Fourier space, and centered on a spherical shell of wave numbers  $2 \leq k_f L_0 \leq 3$ . Simulations were performed with the Geophysical High Order Suite for Turbulence code, a highly parallelized pseudospectral framework that hosts a variety of solvers [64–66] to study anisotropic classic and quantum fluids, as well as plasmas.

#### **III. GENERATION OF TURBULENCE BY EXTREME VERTICAL DRAFTS**

The operative definition as well as the identification of the drafts in the DNSs performed in this study are obtained in terms of the statistical moments of the vertical component of the Eulerian velocity w. Specifically, we consider as drafts regions where the vertical velocity (w) is significantly larger than 3 standard deviations  $(\sigma_w)$ . The presence of the drafts in the simulations is then confirmed through the analysis of the temporal evolution of the kurtosis of the vertical velocity,  $K_w = \langle (w - \langle w \rangle)^4 \rangle / \langle (w - \langle w \rangle)^2 \rangle^2$ , computed as a function of time from the DNSs. We recall that the kurtosis of a Gaussian distribution is K = 3, so values of  $K_w > 3$  are indicative of the presence of extreme events in w. Figure 1 displays  $K_w$  vs.  $t/\tau_{\rm NL}$  for runs P4, P5, P8, and P9. The Froude number for these runs is close to Fr  $\approx 0.08$ , for which  $K_w$  was found to be maximum from calculations at Re  $\approx 3800$  in Ref. [55]. It is worth mentioning that the values of Fr and  $R_B$  of the main DNSs considered in this study are compatible with estimates of these parameters for some regions of the atmosphere and of quiet parts of the ocean interior. To characterize how these extreme drafts affect the flow energetics, we accumulate the statistics for several hundreds  $\tau_{\rm NL}$  (see Table I). Together with the investigation



FIG. 2. Kinetic (top) and potential (bottom) energy spectra computed for run P5 at the relative maxima (red) and minima (blue) of  $K_w$  (see Fig. 1). Insets show  $\alpha$ - or  $\beta$ -compensated spectra respectively for  $E_V$  or  $E_P$ , with  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  either 5/3 or 2.

of this global quantity, our study will later consider statistical tools which are more local, either in Fourier or in physical space.

Starting from the top panel of Fig. 1 we observe that, for  $N = 8.0U_0/L_0$ , the flow is characterized by strong spikes of the kurtosis reaching values as high as  $K_w \approx 11$  and separated by short time intervals with values of  $K_w$  close to the Gaussian reference. The temporal analysis of the high-order spatial statistics allows us to conclude that in the presence of large-scale intermittent drafts not only the flow is nonhomogeneous due to the irregular emergence of these structures, but, furthermore, global properties of the flow exhibit wide fluctuations. A similar situation has been observed in other flows, such as turbulent homogeneous shear flows [40,67]. The fluctuations of  $K_w$  are smaller for  $N = 7.37U_0/L_0$  (Fig. 1, middle panel), which is still close to Fr  $\approx 0.08$  and also appears to show fluctuations of large-scale quantities. Keeping  $N = 7.37U_0/L_0$  but lowering the Reynolds number to Re  $\approx 1200$  changes drastically the dependence of  $K_w$  on  $t/\tau_{\rm NL}$ : It becomes a smooth signal interrupted by sporadic bursts. The signal is almost completely smooth and stationary for Re  $\approx 800$ (Fig. 1, bottom panel). Since Fr for the three runs in Fig. 1 is roughly the same, this transition appears therefore to be led by the buoyancy Reynolds number, here occurring around  $R_B \approx 20$ .

To investigate how strong vertical drafts affect the generation of turbulence, we performed a systematic study of the isotropic kinetic and potential energy spectra, respectively  $E_V(k)$  and  $E_P(k)$ . The results indicate a substantial enhancement of the power spectral density (PSD) in the small scales when  $K_w$  is maximal. This can be clearly inferred from the spectra computed at local maxima and minima of the kurtosis  $K_w$ , as shown in Fig. 2 for run *P5* (corresponding to times highlighted in red and blue in Fig. 1). Note that these spectra correspond to the same flow, thus to a run with the same global parameters, but at different times. The small-scale PSD of  $E_V(k)$  and  $E_P(k)$  computed at the local maxima (see Fig. 2). This indicates that vertical drafts excite small-scale turbulent structures, developing in patches within the flow and powerful enough to modify the spectral distribution of the energy, plausibly with a  $k^{-5/3}$  dependence over an inertial range of scales at the times when  $K_w$  peaks (see insets in Fig. 2). Conversely, the energy spectra computed at the local minima are much lower for k > 10, and in fact steeper, plausibly with a  $k^{-2}$  scaling at intermediate scales. The integral of  $E_V(k)$  and  $E_P(k)$  for k > 30, act as proxies respectively of the small-scale kinetic and potential energy; they are shown in the inset of Fig. 1 (middle panel). Their correlation



FIG. 3. Left: PDFs of the kinetic energy dissipation computed in the regions indicated by the shaded areas in the middle panel for run P5. Middle: Profile of the normalized kinetic energy dissipation  $\hat{\varepsilon}_V(z, t)$  in individual horizontal planes, at fixed time  $T^* \approx 290\tau_{\rm NL}$  (see Fig. 1), and as a function of z. Right: Vertical profile of the by-plane kurtosis  $K_w(z, t)$ .

with the local maxima and minima of  $K_w$  confirms that enhancements of the small-scale PSD are modulated by the extreme vertical drafts.

## IV. MODULATION OF KINETIC ENERGY DISSIPATION

A study of the statistics of the kinetic and potential energy dissipation rates (respectively  $\varepsilon_V = \nu(\partial u_i/\partial x_j)(\partial u_i/\partial x_j)$  and  $\varepsilon_P = \kappa |\nabla \zeta|^2$ ) reveals that the extreme vertical drafts strongly feedback on  $\varepsilon_V$  and  $\varepsilon_P$ , and play a major role in the way the energy is dissipated in stratified turbulence. Figure 3 shows the instantaneous vertical profile of the kurtosis  $K_w(z, T^*)$  (i.e., averaged over horizontal planes of constant height) in run P5, at time  $T^* \approx 290\tau_{\rm NL}$ , when  $K_w(T^*)$  is at a maximum (see Fig. 1). The figure also shows the vertical profile of the kinetic energy dissipation rate  $\hat{\varepsilon}_V(z, T^*)$  achieved in horizontal planes, normalized by its value in the entire volume [so that  $\int_0^{2\pi} \hat{\varepsilon}_V(z, T^*) dz = 1$ ], and the probability density functions (PDFs) of  $\hat{\varepsilon}_V$  in regions at different heights. A comparison between the profiles of  $K_w$  and  $\hat{\varepsilon}_V$  reveals some correlation between their peaks (Fig. 3, right). The PDFs for regions with strong (I and II), moderate (IV) and weak (III and V) local dissipation show that the statistical distribution of the kinetic energy dissipation is modulated by the presence of extreme vertical drafts (Fig. 3, left). Indeed  $P[\log_{10}(\varepsilon_V)]$  is similar between regions with comparable values of  $K_w$ .

In the top and middle panels, Fig. 4 provides the vertical profiles of the by-plane kurtosis  $K_w(z, t)$ and of the normalized kinetic energy dissipation  $\hat{v}_V(z, t)$ , as a function of time (around  $T^*$ ) for run P5. These visualizations emphasize the spatial correlation along the vertical axis z between the emergence of drafts (detected through the amplitude of the kurtosis) and the enhancement of the kinetic energy dissipation, as well as the temporal correlation between these two phenomena. The large peaks of dissipation occur in the same layer of the flow immediately after strong vertical drafts develop. Although the small temporal shift cannot be appreciated from the visualized signals, its existence is demonstrated in the bottom panel of Fig. 4, which shows how the (pointwise) values of the quantities rendered in the middle panels are maximally correlated for a time delay of  $\phi \approx \tau_{\rm NL}/3$ , as it results from the analysis of the distance correlation coefficient  $dCor_{XY}$ , defined next. The latter measures both linear and nonlinear correlations between  $X = K_w(z, t)$  and



FIG. 4. Temporal evolution in units of  $\tau_{\rm NL}$  for run P5 of (top) the vertical profile of the by-plane kurtosis  $K_w(z,t)$ , and (middle) the normalized kinetic energy dissipated in horizontal planes  $\hat{\varepsilon}_V(z,t)$ . The bottom panel gives the joint PDF of  $K_w(z,t)$  and  $\hat{\varepsilon}_V(z,t)$  for all P5 data, the solid red line indicating averages of  $\hat{\varepsilon}_V$  conditioned on  $K_w$ . Inset: Evolution of the distance correlation coefficient *dCor* between  $K_w(z,t)$  and  $\hat{\varepsilon}_V(z,t+\phi)$ , as a function of a temporal lag  $\phi$  between the two signals. Note that the highest correlation obtains for  $\phi \approx \tau_{\rm NL}/3$  (not for  $\phi = 0$ ), thus indicating causation.

 $Y = \hat{\varepsilon}_V(z, t + \phi)$  for different temporal shifts  $\phi$  (shown in the inset in the bottom panel of Fig. 4):

$$dCor_{XY} = \frac{\mu_{XY}}{\left(\mu_{XX}^2 \mu_{YY}^2\right)^{1/4}},$$
(5)

where  $\mu_{XY}$ ,  $\mu_{XX}$ , and  $\mu_{YY}$  are correlation and autocorrelation functions defined as in Refs. [68,69]. It is worth noticing that the overall distance correlation is always rather high, even for  $\phi = 0$ . Finally, the joint PDF of  $K_w(z, t)$  and of  $\hat{\varepsilon}_V(z, t)$  for all times and heights available for P5 is shown in the bottom panel of Fig. 4, together with the conditional average of the dissipation in bins of the kurtosis,  $\langle \hat{c}_V(z,t) | K_w(z,t) \rangle$  (red line). Note that, locally in space and time, larger values of  $K_w$  correspond to larger dissipation rates up to  $K_w \approx 6$ , while for  $K_w > 6 \hat{\epsilon}_V(z, t)$  saturates, these high- $K_w$  regions being very efficient at dissipating kinetic energy. The good correlation resulting from the above statistics, together with the evidence that local maxima of  $\hat{\varepsilon}_V(z,t)$  are anticipated by peaks in  $K_w(z, t)$ —the latter occurring  $\sim \tau_{\rm NL}/3$  earlier than the former in run P5—indicate a causation between the emergence of vertical drafts and the enhancement of the dissipation. Similar results are obtained for other simulations (not shown). Overall, these evidences indicate that the local occurrence of extreme drafts determines the local properties and statistics of strong dissipative events. An analysis of the time evolution of the extreme drafts done through renderings of run P5, shows that right after the occurrence of bursts in the vertical velocity, entire horizontal layers of the flow become turbulent, displaying strong fluctuations of all the components of the velocity field, both at large and at small scales (see movie in the Supplemental Material [70]).



FIG. 5. Kinetic and potential energy dissipation efficiency as a function of  $K_w$  (for high-Re runs P1–P7), measured in terms of the minimal domain volume percentage  $V_{\varepsilon_V}(\mathscr{H})$  and  $V_{\varepsilon_P}(\mathscr{H})$  needed to achieve 50% of respectively the global kinetic and potential energy dissipation.

## V. ENHANCED LOCAL DISSIPATION EFFICIENCY

The efficiency of the local energy dissipation can be further characterized by computing the minimal domain volume needed to achieve a given percentage of the global (kinetic and/or potential) energy dissipation at a given time. We therefore evaluate the local kinetic and potential energy dissipation efficiency, respectively  $V_{\varepsilon_V}$  and  $V_{\varepsilon_P}$ , by classifying the temporal outputs of each run in terms of their domain kurtosis  $K_w$  and then computing the minimal volume percentage needed to achieve the 50% level of the global energy dissipation.

The outcome of this analysis is shown in Fig. 5 for the runs with  $2400 \le \text{Re} \le 3800$  (thus within a relatively narrow range of values of the Reynolds number) in order to avoid any Reynolds number dependence of  $V_{\varepsilon_V}$  and  $V_{\varepsilon_P}$ . First, we note that the HIT case has one of the highest kinetic energy dissipation efficiencies: Only  $\approx 15\%$  of the most dissipative regions within the volume are in fact needed to achieve 50% of the global kinetic energy dissipation (Fig. 5, top). Strongly stratified flows are unable to achieve a similar  $V_{\varepsilon_V}$  except when they develop extreme vertical drafts, powerful enough for the domain kurtosis to be  $K_w \gtrsim 7$ , attainable in our study only for Froude numbers within the resonant regime delineated in [55] (runs P4 and P5), a regime compatible with values found in some regions of the ocean and the atmosphere. Indeed,  $V_{\varepsilon_V}$  for these two runs can be respectively as low as  $\approx 14\%$  and  $\approx 11\%$ , smaller in fact than for the HIT case. Thus, not only do the large-scale vertical drafts generate small-scale turbulence, but they are also responsible for the local and efficient enhancement of the kinetic energy dissipation  $\varepsilon_V$ . These extreme drafts are therefore needed in stratified turbulent flows, when stratification is strong enough (Fr  $\leq 0.1$ ), for the energy to be locally dissipated as efficiently as in the HIT case at equivalent Reynolds numbers.

Indeed, without drafts, dissipation efficiency is significantly smaller. The most stratified runs in our study (runs P7 and P8) are unable to develop significant drafts, and they are both characterized by an efficiency  $V_{\varepsilon_V} \approx 26\%$ , more than twice that of the most dissipative cases of runs P4 and P5. On the opposite limit, when stratification is weak, as for runs P2 and P3,  $V_{\varepsilon_V}$  approaches the value of the HIT case (in fact from below) even though  $K_w \approx 3$ . The local potential energy dissipation efficiency  $V_{\varepsilon_P}$  exhibits a behavior similar to that of  $V_{\varepsilon_V}$  (Fig. 5, bottom) except for the most stratified runs P7 and P8, that appear to be the most dissipative, although characterized by low kurtosis  $K_w$ .

Moreover, values of  $V_{\varepsilon_P}$  are smaller than those of  $V_{\varepsilon_V}$ , suggesting that stratified flows are more efficient in dissipating potential energy than kinetic energy. This could be related to the well-known stronger small-scale intermittency of (passive) scalars as they easily form frontal structures [71,72] (see also Ref. [21]).

#### VI. DISCUSSION

### A. A model of intermittency

We showed that the generation of large-scale intermittent vertical drafts in stratified turbulence [55] can lead to recurrent strong modulations of the flow over duration of up to  $\approx 500 t/\tau_{\rm NL}$ . These extreme events produce, possibly through instabilities, strong localized (potential and) kinetic energy dissipation  $\varepsilon_V$  and modulate the overall distribution  $P(\varepsilon_V)$ , whose shape depends on the region of the flow considered. The presence of vertical drafts is also needed for stably stratified turbulence to achieve a localized dissipation efficiency comparable to that of homogeneous isotropic turbulence. In particular, we showed that, at the peak in Fr of the resonant regime identified in Ref. [55], roughly 10% of the domain volume ( $V_{\varepsilon_V}$ ) is sufficient to account for 50% of the global kinetic energy dissipation.

The kind of intermittency reported here, with slow but strong recurrent modulations, differs from the small-scale intermittency reported in other studies [21]. The former can be reproduced with a simple model, as we now illustrate. Specifically, we consider a modification of a reduced model for field gradients in stratified turbulence presented in Refs. [62,75],

$$\begin{split} \dot{Q} &= -3R + NT + f - \gamma Q, \\ \dot{R} &= 2Q^2/3 + 2NSQ/3 + NR_{\zeta} - \gamma R, \\ \dot{R}_{\zeta} &= 5QT/3 + 3RS - 4NST/3 - NQA - NR - \gamma R_{\zeta}, \\ \dot{B} &= 2QA/3 + 2R - NAS/3 - NT - \gamma B, \\ \dot{T} &= -2R_{\zeta} - 2SQ/3 + NB - 2NS^2/3 - \gamma T, \\ \dot{A} &= -B - 2Q/3 - 2NS/3 - \gamma A, \\ \dot{S} &= NA - T - \gamma S, \end{split}$$

where N is the Brunt-Väisälä frequency as before,  $Q = (\partial_i u_i)(\partial_i u_i)/2$ ,  $R = (\partial_i u_i)(\partial_i u_i)/3$ ,  $R_{\zeta} = (\partial_i \zeta)(\partial_j u_i)(\partial_z u_j), B = (\partial_i u_z)(\partial_z u_i), T = (\partial_i \zeta)(\partial_z u_i), A = \partial_z u_z, \text{ and } S = \partial_z \zeta$  (i.e., all combinations of field gradients). Viscous damping (controlled by  $\gamma$ ) and forcing were added phenomenologically. These equations (for  $f = \gamma = 0$ ) can be derived from Eqs. (1) and (2) following fluid trajectories [62]. We integrate these equations with f given by a superposition of harmonic oscillations with frequencies centered around the Brunt-Väisälä frequency N with very small amplitude (= 0.08), and with  $\gamma = \nu/L_{Oz}^2$ , where  $L_{Oz}$  is the Ozmidov length. This length is defined as  $L_{\text{Oz}} = \sqrt{\varepsilon_V / N^3}$ ; it can be viewed as partitioning the flow between larger scales governed by quasigeostrophic dynamics which progressively gives way, at smaller scales, to strong stratified turbulence. The values of N,  $\nu$ , and  $L_{Oz}$  where chosen as in run P5. The result is shown in Fig. 6. Note the system is bursty with a behavior reminiscent of so-called on-off intermittency [76-78]: It displays long periods of very small oscillations, followed by nonregular (but repetitive) bursts reminding those observed in Fig. 1, which are separated by times much larger than the typical timescales in the system. Also, both  $\partial_z \theta$  as well as  $\partial_z w$  (not shown) have bursts, as well as Q and R, two quantities relevant in many reduced Euler models [73,74] to describe vortex stretching and dissipation. The bursts, amplifying the forcing by orders of magnitude, take place as the system evolves between two slow manifolds [62], and the overall behavior is compatible with a stochastic resonance [79].



FIG. 6. Time evolution of the vertical gradients of the scaled density fluctuations,  $\partial_z \zeta$ , and of two different combinations of the velocity field gradients (*Q* and *R*, two quantities relevant for the evolution of fluid elements and of dissipation in reduced Euler models [73,74]), in a reduced model of field gradients in stratified flows. Parameters are compatible with those of run P5.

#### **B.** Conclusion

The observation of the strong spatial localization of the dissipation in our DNSs is reminiscent of results presented in Ref. [80] using global oceanic simulations. Indeed, Pearson and Fox-Kemper have shown that macroscopic features of the PDF of  $\varepsilon_V$  in their simulations (i.e., the mean and standard deviation) depend on the depth and the subdomains considered, concluding that most of the dissipation at oceanic mesoscales occurs in a small number of high-dissipation locations corresponding to a fraction of the ocean volume. It is worth noticing, however, that the model used in Ref. [80] includes subgrid modeling of the dissipation, plus the effect of topography as well as other relevant effects for global oceanic modeling. By showing that large-scale intermittent structures emerging in the bulk of stratified flows are associated with enhanced kinetic energy dissipation, our work indicates that the underlying mechanism associated with the development of regions of extreme dissipation may be a fundamental property of turbulence in the presence of stable stratification, as also suggested by the simple model that reproduces the recurrent bursts (see Sec. VI). Our results shed also light on the link between intermittency and dissipation recently emphasized in Ref. [81].

The way energy is dissipated in geophysical flows remains an important open problem; our study indicates that in a certain region of parameter space, vertical drafts and the associated steepening of gravity waves can lead to enhanced local-in-time-and-space dissipation, ultimately leading to an inadequacy of the description of the system in terms of solely weakly interacting waves, even when the global Froude number is small. The state of marginal instability and its relationship with the efficiency of energy dissipation and mixing, also in the context of ocean dynamics, has been analyzed recently [27]. Using a model, it was shown that it may be governed by regions of the flow close to a margin of instability for the Richardson number, consistently with results obtained from other reduced models [62]. Finally, we mention important extensions of this work. With its focus on intermittency, our study is necessarily of a statistical nature, as quantities such as the kurtosis are only defined by averages. As a result, the present analysis could show that stratified turbulence can dissipate energy as efficiently as homogeneous and isotropic turbulence for some values of the Froude number, but it cannot pinpoint individual structures responsible for the dissipation, or characterize the dynamics of such structures. From the point of view of out-of-equilibrium statistical mechanics, the origin of these events can be understood, with the help of simple dynamical models involving a nonlinear resonant-like amplification of waves by eddies [54,55,57], as a self-organized critical process in which the strong events lead to a cascade of smaller scale extreme events [53,56]. Another way to analyze the dynamics is by postulating the existence of two slow manifolds in the dynamics (one associated with waves, the other with the overturning instability) with fluid elements evolving fast from one available state to the other [62], as in the model briefly discussed in this section. The latter provide evidence for the dynamical coupling between large-scale intermittent drafts and small scale intermittent dissipation, which, together with the spatiotemporal correlation discussed in the previous sections, demonstrates the causation between these phenomena. A study of the fluid dynamics of the evolution of individual structures, in the spirit of traditional studies of stably stratified turbulence as, e.g., in Refs. [46,47], or in Ref. [44] considering the role of conserved quantities, is left for the future.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

R.M. and F.F. were supported by the EVENTFUL project funded by the Agence Nationale de la Recherche, under the Contract No. ANR-20-CE30-0011. A. Pumir was supported by the TILT project funded by the French Agence Nationale de la Recherche, under the Contract No. ANR-20-CE30-0035. A. Pouquet is thankful to LASP and in particular to Bob Ergun.

- [2] P. S. Marcus, Jupiter's great red spot and other vortices, Annu. Rev. Astron. Astrophys. 31, 523 (1993).
- [3] R. B. Scott and F. Wang, Direct evidence of an oceanic inverse kinetic energy cascade from satellite altimetry, J. Phys. Oceanogr. 35, 1650 (2005).
- [4] P. Klein, B. L. Hua, G. Lapeyre, X. Capet, S. Le Gentil, and H. Sasaki, Upper ocean turbulence from high-resolution 3D simulations, J. Phys. Oceanogr. 38, 1748 (2008).
- [5] R. Marino, P. D. Mininni, D. Rosenberg, and A. Pouquet, Inverse cascades in rotating stratified turbulence: Fast growth of large scales, Eur. Phys. Lett. 102, 44006 (2013).
- [6] A. Pouquet and R. Marino, Geophysical Turbulence And the Duality of the Energy Flow Across Scales, Phys. Rev. Lett. 111, 234501 (2013).
- [7] R. Marino, A. Pouquet, and D. Rosenberg, Resolving the Paradox of Oceanic Large-Scale Balance And Small-Scale Mixing, Phys. Rev. Lett. 114, 114504 (2015).
- [8] C. Herbert, R. Marino, D. Rosenberg, and A. Pouquet, Waves and vortices in the inverse cascade regime of stratified turbulence with or without rotation, J. Fluid Mech. 806, 165 (2016).
- [9] A. Pouquet, R. Marino, P. D. Mininni, and D. Rosenberg, Dual constant-flux energy cascades to both large scales and small scales, Phys. Fluids 29, 111108 (2017).
- [10] A. Pouquet, D. Rosenberg, J. E. Stawarz, and R. Marino, Helicity dynamics, inverse, and bidirectional cascades in fluid and magnetohydrodynamic turbulence: A brief review, Earth Space Sci. 6, 351 (2019).
- [11] A. Chatain, A. Spiga, D. Banfield, F. Forget, and N. Murdoch, Seasonal variability of the daytime and nighttime atmospheric turbulence experienced by InSight on Mars, Geophys. Res. Lett. 48, e2021GL095453 (2021).
- [12] J. H. Xie and O. Bühler, Two-dimensional isotropic inertia–gravity wave turbulence, J. Fluid Mech. 872, 752 (2019).
- [13] R. M. B. Young and P. L. Read, Forward and inverse kinetic energy cascades in Jupiter's turbulent weather layer, Nat. Phys. 13, 1135 (2017).
- [14] L. Mahrt, Stably stratified atmospheric boundary layers, Ann. Rev. Fluid Mech. 46, 23 (2014).
- [15] M. C. Gregg, E. A. D'Asaro, J. J. Riley, and E. Kunze, Mixing efficiency in the ocean, Ann. Rev. Mar. Sci. 10, 443 (2018).
- [16] B. R. Sutherland, U. Achatz, C. cille P. Caulfield, and J. M. Klymak, Recent progress in modeling imbalance in the atmosphere and ocean, Phys. Rev. Fluids 4, 010501 (2019).
- [17] C. P. Caulfield, Layering, instabilities, and mixing in turbulent stratified flows, Ann. Rev. Fluid Mech. 53, 113 (2021).
- [18] J. J. Riley and S. M. de Bruyn Kops, Dynamics of turbulence strongly influenced by buoyancy, Phys. Fluids 15, 2047 (2003).

K. Emanuel, Increasing destructiveness of tropical cyclones over the past 30 years, Nature (Lond.) 436, 686 (2005).

- [19] G. Ivey, K. Winters, and J. Koseff, Density stratification, turbulence but how much mixing? Ann. Rev. Fluid Mech. 40, 169 (2008).
- [20] P. Bartello and S. M. Tobias, Sensitivity of stratified turbulence to the buoyancy Reynolds number, J. Fluid Mech. 725, 1 (2013).
- [21] S. M. de Bruyn Kops, Classical scaling and intermittency in strongly stratified Boussinesq turbulence, J. Fluid Mech. 775, 436 (2015).
- [22] G. D. Portwood, S. M. de Bruyn Kops, J. R. Taylor, H. Salehipour, and C. P. Caulfield, Robust identification of dynamically distinct regions in stratified turbulence, J. Fluid Mech. 807, R2 (2016).
- [23] H. Salehipour, W. R. Peltier, C. B. Whalen, and J. A. MacKinnon, A new characterization of the turbulent diapycnal diffusivities of mass and momentum in the ocean, Geophys. Res. Lett. 43, 3370 (2016).
- [24] A. Pouquet, D. Rosenberg, R. Marino, and C. Herbert, Scaling laws for mixing and dissipation in unforced rotating stratified turbulence, J. Fluid Mech. 844, 519 (2018).
- [25] A. Garanaik and S. K. Venayagamoorthy, On the inference of the state of turbulence and mixing efficiency in stably stratified flows, J. Fluid Mech. 867, 323 (2019).
- [26] G. D. Portwood, S. M. de Bruyn Kops, and C. P. Caulfield, Asymptotic Dynamics of High Dynamic Range Stratified Turbulence, Phys. Rev. Lett. 122, 194504 (2019).
- [27] W. D. Smyth, Marginal instability and the efficiency of ocean mixing, J. Phys. Oceanogr. 50, 2141 (2020).
- [28] H. Wang and O. Bühler, Ageostrophic corrections for power spectra and wave–vortex decomposition, J. Fluid Mech. 882, A16 (2020).
- [29] R. Marino, P. D. Mininni, D. Rosenberg, and A. Pouquet, Emergence of helicity in rotating stratified turbulence, Phys. Rev. E 87, 033016 (2013).
- [30] D. H. Lenschow, M. Lothon, S. D. Mayor, P. P. Sullivan, and G. Canut, A comparison of higher-order vertical velocity moments in the convective boundary layer from Lidar with in situ measurements and Large-Eddy Simulation, Bound.-Layer Meteorol. 143, 107 (2012).
- [31] L. Mahrt and N. Gamage, Observations of turbulence in stratified flow, J. Atmos. Sci. 44, 1106 (1987).
- [32] L. Mahrt, Intermittency of atmospheric turbulence, J. Atmos. Sci. 46, 79 (1989).
- [33] H.-L. Liu, On the large wind shear and fast meridional transport above the mesopause, Geophys. Res. Lett. **34**, L08815 (2007).
- [34] J. L. Chau, R. Marino, F. Feraco, J. M. U. Cordero, G. Baumgarten, F.-J. Luebken, W. K. Hocking, C. Schult, T. Renkwitz, and R. Latteck, Radar observation of extreme vertical drafts in the polar summer mesosphere, Geophys. Res. Lett. 48, e2021GL094918 (2021).
- [35] E. D'Asaro, R.-C. Lien, and F. Henyey, High-frequency internal waves on the oregon continental shelf, J. Phys. Oceanogr. 37, 1956 (2007).
- [36] J. M. Klymak, R. Pinkel, and L. Rainville, Direct breaking of the internal tide near topography: Kaena Ridge, Hawaii, J. Phys. Oceanogr. 38, 380 (2008).
- [37] H. van Haren and L. Gostiaux, Convective mixing by internal waves in the Puerto Rico Trench, J. Mar. Res. 74, 161 (2016).
- [38] X. Capet, J. McWilliams, M. Molemaker, and A. Shchepetkin, Mesoscale to submesoscale transition in the california current system. Part i: Flow structure, eddy flux, and observational tests, J. Phys. Oceanogr. 38, 29 (2008).
- [39] J. M. Klymak, W. Crawford, M. H. Alford, J. A. MacKinnon, and R. Pinkel, Along-isopycnal variability of spice in the North Pacific, J. Geophys. Res. 120, 2287 (2015).
- [40] A. Pumir, Turbulence in homogeneous shear flows, Phys. Fluids 8, 3112 (1996).
- [41] J. Jimenez, Turbulent velocity fluctuations need not be Gaussian, J. Fluid Mech. 376, 139 (1998).
- [42] J. J. Riley, R. W. Metcalfe, and M. A. Weissman, Direct numerical simulations of homogeneous turbulence in density-stratified fluids, AIP Conf. Proc. 76, 79 (1981).
- [43] J. R. Herring and O. Métais, Numerical experiments in forced stably stratified turbulence, J. Fluid Mech. 202, 97 (1989).
- [44] K. B. Winters, P. N. Lombard, J. J. Riley, and E. D'Asaro, Available potential energy and mixing in density-stratified fluids, J. Fluid Mech. 289, 115 (1995).
- [45] O. Métais, P. Bartello, E. Garnier, J. J. Riley, and M. Lesieur, Inverse cascade in stably stratified rotating turbulence, Dyn. Atmos. Oceans 23, 193 (1996).

- [46] Y. Kimura and J. R. Herring, Diffusion in stably stratified turbulence, J. Fluid Mech. 328, 253 (1996).
- [47] P. Billant and J.-M. Chomaz, Experimental evidence for a new instability of a vertical columnar vortex pair in a strongly stratified fluid, J. Fluid Mech. 418, 167 (2000).
- [48] E. Lindborg, The energy cascade in a strongly stratified fluid, J. Fluid Mech. 550, 207 (2006).
- [49] R. Marino, P. D. Mininni, D. L. Rosenberg, and A. Pouquet, Large-scale anisotropy in stably stratified rotating flows, Phys. Rev. E 90, 023018 (2014).
- [50] J.-P. Laval, J. C. McWilliams, and B. Dubrulle, Forced stratified turbulence: Successive transitions with Reynolds number, Phys. Rev. E 68, 036308 (2003).
- [51] G. Brethouwer, P. Billant, E. Lindborg, and J.-M. Chomaz, Scaling analysis and simulation of strongly stratified turbulent flows, J. Fluid Mech. 585, 343 (2007).
- [52] P. A. Davidson, *Turbulence in Rotating, Stratified and Electrically Conducting Fluids* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 2013).
- [53] A. Pouquet, D. Rosenberg, and R. Marino, Linking dissipation, anisotropy and intermittency in rotating stratified turbulence, Phys. Fluids 31, 105116 (2019).
- [54] C. Rorai, P. D. Mininni, and A. Pouquet, Turbulence comes in bursts in stably stratified flows, Phys. Rev. E 89, 043002 (2014).
- [55] F. Feraco, R. Marino, A. Pumir, L. Primavera, P. D. Mininni, A. Pouquet, and D. Rosenberg, Vertical drafts and mixing in stratified turbulence: sharp transition with Froude number, Eur. Phys. Lett. 123, 44002 (2018).
- [56] W. D. Smyth, J. D. Nash, and J. N. Moum, Self-organized criticality in geophysical turbulence, Sci. Rep. 9, 3747 (2019).
- [57] F. Feraco, R. Marino, L. Primavera, A. Pumir, P. D. Mininni, D. Rosenberg, A. Pouquet, R. Foldes, E. Lévêque, E. Camporeale, S. S. Cerri, H. C. Asokan, J. L. Chau, J. P. Bertoglio, P. Salizzoni, and M. Marro, Connecting large-scale velocity and temperature bursts with small-scale intermittency in stratified turbulence, Eur. Phys. Lett. 135, 14001 (2021).
- [58] V. Petoukhov, A. V. Eliseev, R. Klein, and H. Oesterle, On statistics of the free-troposphere synoptic component: An evaluation of skewnesses and mixed third-order moments contribution to the synopticscale dynamics and fluxes of heat and humidity, Tellus A 60, 11 (2008).
- [59] P. Sardeshmukh, G. P. Compo, and C. Penland, Need for caution in interpreting extreme weather statistics, J. Clim. 28, 9166 (2015).
- [60] R. Marino, D. Rosenberg, C. Herbert, and A. Pouquet, Interplay of waves and eddies in rotating stratified turbulence and the link with kinetic-potential energy partition, Eur. Phys. Lett. 112, 49001 (2015).
- [61] D. Rosenberg, A. Pouquet, R. Marino, and P. D. Mininni, Evidence for Bolgiano-Obukhov scaling in rotating stratified turbulence using high-resolution direct numerical simulations, Phys. Fluids 27, 055105 (2015).
- [62] N. E. Sujovolsky and P. D. Mininni, From waves to convection and back again: The phase space of stably stratified turbulence, Phys. Rev. Fluids 5, 064802 (2020).
- [63] G. K. Vallis, *Atmospheric and Oceanic Fluid Dynamics* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 2017).
- [64] P. D. Mininni, D. Rosenberg, R. Reddy, and A. Pouquet, A hybrid MPI-OpenMP scheme for scalable parallel pseudospectral computations for fluid turbulence, Parallel Comput. 37, 316 (2011).
- [65] M. Fontana, O. P. Bruno, P. D. Mininni, and P. Dmitruk, Fourier continuation method for incompressible fluids with boundaries, Comput. Phys. Commun. 256, 107482 (2020).
- [66] D. Rosenberg, P. D. Mininni, R. Reddy, and A. Pouquet, GPU parallelization of a hybrid pseudospectral geophysical turbulence framework using CUDA, Atmosphere 11, 178 (2020).
- [67] A. Sekimoto, S. Dong, and J. Jiménez, Direct numerical simulation of statistically stationary and homogeneous shear turbulence and its relation to other shear flows, Phys. Fluids 28, 035101 (2016).
- [68] G. J. Székely, M. L. Rizzo, and N. K. Bakirov, Measuring and testing dependence by correlation of distances, Ann. Stat. 35, 2769 (2007).
- [69] D. Edelmann, T. F. Móri, and G. J. Székely, On relationships between the pearson and the distance correlation coefficients, Stat. Probab. Lett. 169, 108960 (2021).

- [70] See Supplemental Material at http://link.aps.org/supplemental/10.1103/PhysRevFluids.7.033801 for a movie showing the time evolution of typical extreme events developing in run P5. In the left panel of the movie one of the horizontal components of the velocity (*u*) is rendered, while the right panel displays the values of the vertical velocity (*w*) exceeding four standard deviations, namely the extreme vertical drafts corresponding to  $w > 4\sigma_w$ . The movie shows the time evolution of the standardized flow fields over an interval of  $\sim 26\tau_{NL}$ .
- [71] A. Pumir, A numerical study of the mixing of a passive scalar in three dimensions in the presence of a mean gradient, Phys. Fluids 6, 2118 (1994).
- [72] N. E. Sujovolsky, P. D. Mininni, and A. Pouquet, Generation of turbulence through frontogenesis in sheared stratified flows, Phys. Fluids 30, 086601 (2018).
- [73] P. Vieillefosse, Internal motion of a small element of fluid in an inviscid flow, Physica A 125, 150 (1984).
- [74] C. Meneveau, Lagrangian dynamics and models of the velocity gradient tensor in turbulent flows, Annu. Rev. Fluid Mech. 43, 219 (2011).
- [75] N. E. Sujovolsky, G. B. Mindlin, and P. D. Mininni, Invariant manifolds in stratified turbulence, Phys. Rev. Fluids 4, 052402(R) (2019).
- [76] Y. Pomeau and P. Manneville, Intermittent transition to turbulence in dissipative dynamical systems, Commun. Math. Phys. 74, 189 (1980).
- [77] E. Ott and J. C. Sommerer, Blowout bifurcations: The occurrence of riddled basins and on-off intermittency, Phys. Lett. A 188, 39 (1994).
- [78] A. Saha and U. Feudel, Riddled basins of attraction in systems exhibiting extreme events, Chaos 28, 033610 (2008).
- [79] R. Benzi, G. Parisi, A. Sutera, and A. Vulpiani, Stochastic resonance in climatic change, Tellus 34, 10 (1982).
- [80] B. Pearson and B. Fox-Kemper, Lognormal Turbulence Dissipation in Global Ocean Models, Phys. Rev. Lett. 120, 094501 (2018).
- [81] J. Isern-Fontanet and A. Turiel, On the connection between intermittency and dissipation in ocean turbulence: A multifractal approach, J. Geophys. Oceanography 51, 2639 (2021).